1	WILLIAM VERICK, CSB #140972	ENDORSED
2	Klamath Environmental Law Center FREDRIC EVENSON, CSB #198059	San Francisco County Superior Court
3	424 First Street Eureka, CA 95501	MAR 2 2 2004
4	Telephone (707) 268-8900 Facsimile (707) 268-8901	GORDON PARK-LI Cleri
5	DAVID H. WILLIAMS, CSB #144479	BY: JOCELYN C. ROQUE Deputy Clerk
6	BRIAN ACREE, CSB #202505 2070 Allston Way, Suite 300	
7	Berkeley, CA 94704 Telephone: (510) 647-1900	
8	Facsimile: (510) 647-1905	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUN	DATION
0		
1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2		
3	COUNTY OF SA	N FRANCISCO
4		
.5	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	CASE NO. 411379
6	FOUNDATION,	(icnj
7	Plaintiff,	[proposed]
8	VS.	ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT (Kinderman J. & Sons)
9	KINDED MAN I O CONO A I	Date: March 22, 2004
0	KINDERMAN J. & SONS, et al.,	Time: 9:30 a.m. Dept.: 301
1	Defendants/	
2		
3	Plaintiff's motion for approval of settleme	nt and entry of Consent Judgment came on
4	regularly for hearing on noticed motion on May 2	2, 2004. The court finds that
5	1. The warnings required by the Cons	ent Judgment comply with the requirements of
6	Proposition 65.	
27		
28	ORDER Mateel v. Kinderman J. et al., (Kinderman J. & Sons) Case No. 4	Page 1

- 2. The payments in lieu of civil penalty specified in the Consent Judgment are reasonable based on the criteria in Calif. Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b)(2); and
- 3. The attorneys fees and costs awarded under the Consent Judgment are reasonable under California law.

Based upon these findings, the settlement and Consent Judgment are approved. IT IS SO ORDERED.

MAR Dated:	2 2 2004	TOMALD EVANS QUIDACHES
		Judge of the Superior Court

7	WILLIAM VERICK (State Bar #140972) KLAMATH ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CENTER ENDORSED		
2	FREDRIC EVENSON (State Bar #198059) 424 First Street Fureka, CA 95501 ENDORSED Figure L E D san Francisco County Superior Court		
3	Eureka, CA 95501 Telephone: (707) 268-8900 MAR 2 2 2004		
4	DAVID H. WILLIAMS (State Bar #144479) GORDON BARTINE		
5 6	20/0 Allston Way, Suite 300		
7	Berkeley, CA 94704 Telephone: (510) 647-1900 Facsimile: (510) 647-1905		
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION		
10	STIDEDIOD COURT OF GALLEON WAR		
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA — UNLIMITED JURISDICTION		
12	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
13 14	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE) Case No. 411379)		
15	Plaintiff,		
16	vs.) CONSENT JUDGMENT) (Kinderman J.)		
17	KINDERMAN J. & SONS, et al.,,		
18	Defendants.		
19			
20	1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>		
21	1.1 On August 12, 2002, Plaintiff, the MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE		
22	FOUNDATION ("MEJF"), acting on behalf of itself and the general public, filed a Complaint for		
23	civil penalties and injunctive relief in the California Superior Court in and for the City and County		
24	of San Francisco, Case No. 411379 against various defendants including Kinderman J. & Sons.		
25	Inc., ("Kinderman"). The Complaint alleges, among other things, that Kinderman violated		
26	provisions of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety		
27	Code Sections 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65"), and Business and Professions Code Sections		
28	17200, et seq. (the "Unfair Competition Act") by knowingly and intentionally exposing persons to		
!!	•		

Consent Judgment (Kinderman J.,) Case No. 411329

products containing lead and/or lead compounds, which are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm, without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals. The Complaint was based upon a 60-Day Notice letter, dated January 15, 2002, sent by MEJF to Kinderman, the California Attorney General, all District Attorneys, and all City Attorneys with populations exceeding 750,000. A copy of the Notice is attached to the Complaint on file with the Court.

- 1.2 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Party" includes MEJF and Kinderman and the term "Parties" shall refer to MEJF and Kinderman collectively.
- 1.3 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Covered Products" shall be defined as decorative or holiday string lights or lighted products which are manufactured with PVC or other thermoplastic coating covered wire or cable which have light(s) connected to or located along the wire or cable.
- 1.4 Kinderman is a business that employs ten or more persons and distributes, markets and/or sells Covered Products within the State of California. Lead and lead compounds are chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, and lead is a chemical known to the State of California to cause reproductive toxicity pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.9. Products containing lead and/or lead compounds that are sold or distributed in the State of California are, under specified circumstances, subject to the Proposition 65 warning requirement set forth in Health and Safety Code Section 25249.6. MEJF alleges that certain Covered Products which contain lead and/or lead compounds in the cable coating are manufactured, distributed, sold and/or marketed by Kinderman for use in California and require a warning under Proposition 65.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations contained in the 60-Day Notice letter and personal jurisdiction over Kinderman as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the City and County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement and resolution of the allegations contained in the Complaint and of all claims which were or could have been raised based on the facts alleged therein or arising

therefrom or related thereto.

enter into this Consent Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties and those entities within Kindermans' chain of distribution for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. This Consent Judgment and compliance with it shall not constitute an admission with respect to any allegation made in the 60-Day Notice letters or the Complaint, each and every allegation of which Kinderman denies, nor may this Consent Judgment or compliance with it be used as an admission or evidence of any fact, wrongdoing, misconduct, culpability or liability on the part of Kinderman.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF - CLEAR AND REASONABLE WARNING</u>

- 2.1 As to the Covered Products, warnings described in section 2.2 are required unless the conditions set forth below are met.
 - (a) The thermoplastic, plastic or polyvinyl chloride used in the cable or wire coating shall have no lead as an intentionally added constituent:
- (b) A representative sample of the thermoplastic, plastic or polyvinyl chloride used in the cable or wire coating of Covered Products has shown lead content by weight of less than 0.03% (300 parts per million "300 ppm"), using a test method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantification (as distinguished from detection) of less than 300 ppm. Compliance may be met by relying on information obtained from suppliers, manufacturers or distributors of Covered Products provided such reliance is in good faith; or
- 2.2 For Covered Products sold to consumers by Kinderman after the date of the entry of this Consent Judgment or any other entity within its chain of distribution including, but not limited to, customers, distributors, wholesalers or retailers, any of the following warning statements, or any warning statement substantially similar (including an identification of the exposure chemical as lead and an instruction to the consumer to wash their hands after handling), shall be used in connection with the retail sale in the State of California:

(a) WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. Wash your hands after handling.

or

(b) PROPOSITION 65 WARNING: Handling the coated electrical wires of this product exposes you to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Wash hands after use.

OT.

(c) WARNING: Handling the coated electrical wires of this product exposes you to lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm. Wash hands after use.

2.2.1 The word(s) "WARNING" or "PROPOSITION 65 WARNING" shall be in bold, capitals. The words "Wash your hands after handling" or "Wash hands after use" shall be in bold and italicized, shall be prominently printed on or affixed to each unit of Covered Products, its label, box package or packing, and displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices on the unit of Covered Products, its label, package or display as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions prior to purchase. The warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the product. The requirement for product labeling set forth above is imposed pursuant to the terms of this Consent Judgment.

3. MONETARY RELIEF

- 3.1 In settlement of all of the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, within ten (10) business days of the entry of this Consent Judgment, Kinderman shall make the following payments:
 - (a) In lieu of civil penalties, \$7,500 (Seven Thousand Five Hundred Dollars)

shall be paid to the Pacifica Reporters Against Censorship a California non-profit environmental organization that, among other things, informs Californians about toxic exposures, for efforts to inform Californians regarding environmental toxic exposure issues.

(b) To cover a portion of plaintiff's attorneys' fees and investigation and testing costs, \$12,500 (Twelve Thousand and Five Hundred Dollars) shall be paid to the Klamath Environmental Law Center ("KELC").

4. <u>ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT/NOTICE/STIPULATED REMEDIES</u>

4.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be enforced exclusively by the Parties hereto. The Parties may, by noticed motion or order to show cause before the Superior Court of San Francisco County, giving the notice required by law, enforce the terms and conditions contained herein, seek whatever fines, costs, penalties or remedies as may be provided by law for any violation of the Consent Judgment.

5. MATTERS COVERED BY THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

between MEJF, acting on behalf of itself and as to those matters asserted in the 60 Day Notice letter on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d) and the general public pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 17204, and Kinderman its parents, officials, subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions, successors, predecessors, assigns, distributors, retailers, wholesalers and/or customers (hereafter referred to as "Kinderman Releasees") of any violation of Proposition 65 or the Unfair Competition Act, of all claims made or which could have been made in the Notice letter and/or the Complaint, and of any other statutory, regulatory or common law claim that could have been asserted against Kinderman for failure to provide clear, reasonable and lawful warnings associated with the Covered Products. As to alleged exposures to Covered Products, compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, past, present and in the future, concerning compliance by Kinderman Releasees.

6. <u>COMPREHENSIVE AND GLOBAL RELEASE</u>

6.1 On its own behalf, and as to those matters raised in the 60-Day Notice letters acting on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(d) and

the general public pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 17204 MEJF and its respective agents, successors and assigns, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action, and releases all claims against Kinderman Releasees arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products and claims alleged in the 60-Day Notice letter and the Complaint, or facts similar to those alleged.

Notice letter, MEJF by and on behalf of itself, its parents, its agents, affiliates, successors and assigns, and on behalf of the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code
Section 25249.7(d) and the general public pursuant to Business and Professions Code
Section 17204, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which it now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon it with respect to the Covered Products and claims alleged in the
Notice letters and the Complaint, or facts similar to those alleged by virtue of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Plaintiff MEJF understands and acknowledges that the significance and consequence of this waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542 is that even if MEJF itself or any member of the general public it represents suffers future damages arising out of or resulting from, or related directly or indirectly to, in whole or in part, the Covered Products, and as to those matters raised in the Notice, MEJF, its agents, successors, attorneys, assigns or affiliates will not be able to make any claim for those damages against Kinderman Releasees who import, market, supply, sell or distribute Covered Products for sale in the State of California.

7. <u>MODIFICATION OF JUDGMENT</u>

7.1 As to any Covered Products, if Proposition 65 warnings for lead or lead compounds in PVC coated wire or cable should no longer be required, or if warning language different from that set forth in this Consent Judgment is required, because of a change or changes

in law, or based on a California Attorney General opinion letter specific as to the Covered Products, Kinderman shall have no further warning obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment. In the event that Kinderman ceases to implement or modify the warnings required under this Consent Judgment, Kinderman shall provide written notice to MEJF of its intent to do so, and of the basis for its intent, no less than thirty (30) days in advance. MEJF shall notify Kinderman in writing of any objection within thirty (30) days of its receipt of such notice, or such objection by Plaintiff MEJF shall be waived.

7.2 Except as provided for in Section 7.1, this Consent Judgment may be modified only upon written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon motion of any party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

8. <u>AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE</u>

8.1 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to enter into this Consent Judgment and to execute it on behalf of the party represented and legally to bind that party.

9. <u>RETENTION OF JURISDICTION</u>

9.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement the Consent Judgment.

10. GOVERNING LAW

10.1 The validity, construction and performance of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the Laws of the State of California.

11. ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

11.1 The parties hereby request that the Court promptly enter this Consent Judgment.

Upon entry of the Consent Judgment, KINDERMAN J. and MEJF waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.

12. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

12.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions,

1	negotiations, commitments and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or				
2	otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party				
3	hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be				
4	11	deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.			
5	13.				
6		13.1	If this	Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, it shall be of no force or	
7	effect, and cannot be used in any proceeding for any purpose.				
8	14. <u>NOTICES</u>				
9		14.1	All No	otices or correspondence to be given pursuant to this Consent Judgment or	
10	relating to this Consent Judgment shall be served on any Party by the other at the				
11	following address:				
12		For ME	JF:	William Verick	
13				Klamath Environmental Law Center 424 First Street	
14	Eureka, CA 95501				
15		For Kin	derma	Dennis J. Byrne	
16				Ropers, Majeski, Kohn & Bentley 333 Market Street, Suite 3150	
17				San Francisco, CA 94105	
18	15.	COUNT	ΓERP.	<u>ARTS</u>	
19		15.1	Γhis C	Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts or by facsimile each of	
20	which shall be deemed an original and all of which when taken together shall constitute one and				
21	the same document.				
22					
23					
24					
25	// // //				
26					
27	// // //				
28					

1	16. COURT FINDINGS			
2	16.1 After review of the documents submitted by the Parties, considering the noticed			
3	motion by MEJF and as part of approving this settlement and entering this Consent Judgment, the			
4	Court finds: (1) the warnings required in this Consent Judgment comply with the requirements of			
5	Proposition 65: (2) the cy pres funding in lieu of civil penalties specified in this Consent Judgment			
6	is reasonable based on the criteria in California Health and Saftey Code Section 25249.7(b)(2) and			
. 7	(3) the attorneys fees awarded under the Consent Judgment are reasonable under California law.			
8				
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED:			
10				
וו	Date: MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION			
12				
13	BY: MW Colin WTV L			
14	William Verick			
15	Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation			
16	Date: KINDERMAN J., & SONS, INC.			
17	BY:			
18				
19	ITS:			
20	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:			
21	MAR 2 2 2004 ROMALD EVANS QUIDAGNAY			
22	Date:JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT			
23	OF THE SOLECTOR COOK!			
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	Consent Judgment			
	Case No. 411329			

16. COURT FINDINGS

16.1 After review of the documents submitted by the Parties, considering the noticed motion by MEJF and as part of approving this settlement and entering this Consent Judgment, the Court finds: (1) the warnings required in this Consent Judgment comply with the requirements of Proposition 65: (2) the cy pres funding in lieu of civil penalties specified in this Consent Judgment is reasonable based on the criteria in California Health and Saftey Code Section 25249.7(b)(2) and (3) the attorneys fees awarded under the Consent Judgment are reasonable under California law.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

10		
11	Date:	MATEEL ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE FOUNDATION
12		M. 16.11
13		BY: MULLOUM WTULL
14		William Verick Mateel Environmental Justice Foundation
15		,
16	Date: 8/2/104	KINDERMAN J., & SONS, INC.
17	'	BY: oskinderman
18		ITS:
19		() (n)
20	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED	AND DECREED:
21		
22	Date:	OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
23		Of the Bot Black of Str.
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	·	
		-9-
	Consent Judgment Case No. 411329	

State of California - Department of Justice - Attorney General's Office - Proposition 65 Enforcement Reporting

Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator, 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000, Oakland, CA 94612

FORM JUS 1501 (03/01)

PRIVATE ENFORCEMENT FILING - Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(e) and (f)

REPORT OF SETTLEMENT

Please	print or type required information Suppleme	ntal Filing Corrected Filing
	PLAINTIFF(S)	
	Mateel Environmental Justice Foundat	cion
	DEFENDANT(S) INVOLVED IN SETTLEMENT	
Z O	†	
PARTIES TO THE ACTION	Kinderman J. and Sons, Inc.	
PART		
}		
		•
		JRT NAME
CASE	SE SE NAME	n Francisco Superior Court
ਹ ≃	Mateel v. Kinderman J. & Sons, Inc.	
	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF	
	Reform. standard, warnings prior to	nurchase on uproformulated must
	PAYMENT: CIVIL PENALTY PAYMENT: ATTORNEYS FEES	PAYMENT: OTHER
∠	10,000	5,000
OR	WILL SETTLEMENT BE IFYES, AFTER ENTRY OF JUDGMENT BY	DATE SETTLEMENT SIGNED S
REPORT	SUBMITTED TO COURT? COURT REPORT OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT MUST BE SUBMITTED TO ATTORNEY GENERAL	/ /
	COPY OF SETTLEMENT MUST	DATE SETTLEMENT SIGNED / / BE ATTACHED
	NAME OF CONTACT	DE ALIACHED
	David H. Williams	
	ORGANIZATION	TELEPHONENUMBER
10-	Attorney At Law	((510)) 647-1900
正二	ADDRESS 2070 Allston Way, Suite 300	FAX NUMBER
!~	CITY STATE ZIP	((510)) 647-1905
i i	Berkeley CA	E-MAIL ADDRESS
	INSTRUCTIONS: This form and he will be	davidhwilliams@earthlink.net

FILING INSTRUCTIONS: This form can be completed online and printed. If electronic filing is not available, mail the completed form with a copy of the settlement to the attention of the Prop 65 Coordinator at the address shown above. If you need additional space to complete this form please use an attachment.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am over 18 years of age and not a party to the within action. My business address is 2070 Allston Way, Suite 300. Berkeley, CA 94704. On **February 6, 2004**, I served the documents entitled:

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO APPROVE PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND FOR ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT (Kinderman)

DECLARATION OF DAVID H. WILLIAMS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO APPROVE PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND FOR ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT (Kinderman)

REPORT OF SETTLEMENT

by placing a true and correct copy of the document in a sealed envelope, and personally delivering the envelope to the receptionist at the following address:

Proposition 65 Coordinator Office of Attorney General 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor Oakland, CA 94612

I declare under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on February 6, 2004.

Kathryn Ansite

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am employed in the County of Alameda; over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action. My business address is 2070 Allston Way, Suite 300; Berkeley, CA 94704 On March 23,2004, I served the following documents described as

ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT

CONSENT JUDGMENT

on the parties listed below:

through their attorneys of record, by placing true copies thereof in sealed envelopes addressed as shown below, or compiled for transmission by facsimile transmission, for services as designated below:
By First Class Mail - I caused each such envelope, with first class postage thereon fully prepaid, to be deposited in a recognized place of deposit of the U.S. mail in San Francisco, California, for collection and mailing to the office of the addressee or the date shown herein following ordinary course of business
By Federal Express - I caused each such envelope to be delivered to Federal Express Corporation with whom we have a direct billing account to be delivered to the office of the addressee on the next business day.
By Facsimile I caused such document to be served via facsimile electronic equipment transmission on the parties in this action by transmitting a true and correct copy using aHewlett Packard Laserjet 3100 facsimile machine which indicated successful transmission to the numbers shown above
By Personal Service I personally delivered such envelope to the addressee.
By Personal Service I caused each such envelope to be delivered to a courie of a messenger service who personally delivered each such envelope to the office of the addressee.

I declare that the foregoing is true and correct and that I executed this declaration on March 23, 2004 in Berkeley, California.

Taissa Kuncio